



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,608	07/27/2006	Francesco Pessolano	NL04 0078 US1	9958

65913 7590 11/23/2009  
NXP, B.V.  
NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & LICENSING  
M/S41-SJ  
1109 MCKAY DRIVE  
SAN JOSE, CA 95131

EXAMINER
----------

PATHAK, SUDHANSHU C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2611

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

11/23/2009

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

[ip.department.us@nxp.com](mailto:ip.department.us@nxp.com)

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/587,608	PESSOLANO, FRANCESCO	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	SUDHANSHU C. PATHAK	2611	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 July 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 27 July 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <p>1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</p> <p>2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</p> <p>3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br/>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.</p> | <p>4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br/>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.</p> <p>5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application</p> <p>6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.</p> |
|---|--|

### DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-18 are pending in the application.

#### ***Response to Arguments***

2. Applicant's arguments filed in amendment dated 07/14/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The office action (OA) below further clarifies the examiner's interpretation of the Liu et al. (6,219,797) reference.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-2, 9, 14, 16-17 (device) & 15, 18 (method) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Liu et al. (6,219,797).

In regards to Claims 1-2, 9, 14-18, Liu discloses an electronic device (method) for generating a clock signal for an integrated circuit (Fig. 5), the device comprising: at least two clock generation elements configured to generate a single clock signal at a clock output in response to an input signal and to operate in a mutually exclusive manner, the outputs of said clock generation elements being selectively connectable to said clock output the device (Fig. 5, element 74, 86, 78)

{Interpretation: The reference discloses the division elements generating multiple clocks and further the "Mux" selects a single clock from the multiple options}: means for receiving a data pattern representative of a sequence of frequencies at which

said clock signal is required to be generated (Fig. 5, elements "CD0" & "CD1")

{Interpretation: The reference discloses a plurality of bits (patterns) so as to select different frequency signals and the selection of a certain bit (pattern) selects a certain frequency clock. This interpretation is consistent with the instant application specification as recited on Page 5, lines 28-29 which states "The device comprises an arbiter 22 for receiving requests to change the frequency of the clock signal...", thus each bit combination of "CD0" & "CD1" is interpreted as a request.

Furthermore, the claim does not recite receiving a single pattern representing all the plurality of frequencies}); means for causing a clock generation element other than the clock generation element generating the clock signal at the immediately previous frequency in said sequence to generate a clock signal at said next frequency and means for causing the clock signal at the immediately previous frequency in said sequence to be disconnected from said clock output and further means for causing the clock signal at the next frequency in said sequence to be connected to said clock output (Fig. 5, element 74, 78, 86) {Interpretation the reference discloses plurality of different clock generation elements i.e. div. "1024", "64" wherein the clock generation elements are different and depending on the pattern the other elements are disconnected or connected depending on the desired clock frequency}); wherein the clock generation element being caused to generate a clock signal at each frequency in said sequence is independent of the value of said frequency (Fig. 5, element 70, 72, 74, 78, 86 & Column 12, lines 53-67) {Interpretation: The reference discloses plurality of clock source elements i.e. crystal and ring oscillator which are separate

and independent and the clock generation elements can generate frequencies with either source}.

In regards to Claim 3, Liu discloses an electronic device for generating a clock signal for an integrated circuit as described above. Liu further discloses generation of the clock signal at said next frequency in said sequence is commenced prior to disconnection of the clock signal at the immediately previous frequency in the sequence from the clock output (Column 14, lines 54-60 & Column 19, lines 60-67).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 4-8, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu et al. (6,219,797).

In regards to Claims 4-8, 13, Liu discloses an electronic device for generating a clock signal for an integrated circuit as described above. However, Liu does not explicitly disclose wherein (dis)connection of the clock signal at the next frequency in said sequence to said clock output is caused to occur when said clock signal is low. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that there is no criticality in performing the (dis)connection to the another frequency clock signal when said clock signal is low this is a matter of design choice depending on seamless (without) jitter from one clock signal to

another. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that there is no criticality in implementing the clock generation elements as programmable ring oscillators this is a matter of design choice so as to generate an accurate programmable clock signals so as to perform signal frequency changing based on the user. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that a ring oscillator includes variable delay elements to vary the frequency of the output signal. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that a controller is implemented so as to select between the clocks.

7. Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu et al. (6,219,797) in view of Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).

In regards to Claims 10-12, Liu discloses an electronic device for generating a clock signal for an integrated circuit as described above. However, Liu does not explicitly disclose an arbiter for determining the order in which said requests are to be affected wherein further said arbiter orders said requests for action on a first-in-first-out basis.

The AAPA discloses a method for generating a clock signal from multiple clock sources (Specification, Page 4, lines 20-33) comprising an arbiter for determining the order in which said requests are to be affected wherein further said arbiter orders said requests for action on a first-in-first-out basis (Specification, Page 6, lines 9-15). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that AAPA teaches an arbiter for determining the order in which said

requests are to be affected wherein further said arbiter orders said requests for action on a first-in-first-out basis and this is implemented in the method as described in Liu so as to implement multiple requests for change in frequency of clock simultaneously. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that there is no criticality in selecting requests that are received at substantially the same time, the arbiter is arranged to randomly select the order in which action is taken on these two requests this is a matter of design choice so as to be able to avoid losing any of the requests.

### ***Conclusion***

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SUDHANSHU C. PATHAK whose telephone number is (571)272-5509. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh M. Fan can be reached on 571-272-3042.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sudhanshu C Pathak/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2611